

Lecture 2: Satellite Orbits

For observing weather and climate related phenomena on Earth, there are 2 main classes of satellite orbits, geosynchronous (or geostationary) and low Earth orbit (LEO).

We will discuss circular orbits primarily. While it takes 6 orbital “elements” to uniquely define a satellite orbit (essentially 3D velocity and 3D position at a specified time), from our perspective, the main features of an orbit are its radius or altitude, orbital period, orbital velocity, inclination, times of day crossing the equator (“longitude of the ascending node”) and precession rate. These are not independent of one another.

Inclination

Inclination refers to the tilt of the orbital plane relative to the equatorial plane of the Earth. A 0° inclination has a plane coincident with the plane of Earth’s equator and orbits with the same rotation as the Earth’s spin. A 90° inclination is exactly a polar orbit, orthogonal to the Earth’s equatorial orbit. A 180° inclination is also coincident with Earth’s equatorial plane but the satellite orbits in the opposite direction as the Earth’s spin and is said to be a “retrograde” orbit. Getting into such an orbit is expensive energetically (= bigger launch vehicle) because the launch vehicle cannot take advantage of the momentum of the Earth’s spin (~ 0.5 m/sec) at launch.

Choice of inclination is often set by the desired latitudinal coverage. If you want coverage that extends from pole to pole, you need an inclination that is nearly polar or at least a high inclination of 70 - 110° . Repeat cycle is another important consideration.


Further refinement of the choice also depends on whether your instrument looks downward (nadir-viewing) or looks at the Earth’s limb (limb-viewing). An orbit for a nadir viewing instrument must be closer to a polar orbit depending on how far off nadir the instrument looks as it scans. If it looks exactly nadir like the CloudSat cloud profiling radar and you want pole-to-pole coverage then the orbit would have to be exactly polar.

If the instruments are limb-viewing then a 70 degree orbit may suffice depending on the altitude of the orbit. For a given radius, what is the minimum inclination, I_{min} , necessary for a limb viewing instrument to see the pole from an orbit with altitude, h ? The answer is

$$\sin(I_{min}) = R_E/r = R_E/(R_E + h) = 1/(1 + h/R_E)$$

where R_E is the Earth’s radius and r is the orbital radius $= R_E + h$.

For $h=750$ km, $I_{min} = 63.5^\circ$. This is the minimum inclination to just see the pole, so the actual inclination used will be somewhat larger.



Geostationary orbits

Geostationary orbits have a 24 hour period such that the satellite sits over the same longitude of the Earth and can see the entire diurnal cycle, particularly cloud tops. Circular geostationary orbits are equatorial orbits with an inclination of 0° .

LEO satellites

Low Earth orbits have altitudes typically ranging from 500 to 850 km and orbital periods are about 100 minutes. A special class of near-polar orbits is sun-synchronous so they always

measure the same 2 times of day or more generally precess where the local time of the observations drifts. (near-)polar orbiters are used to observe high latitudes.

The lower altitude limit of usable orbits is set by atmospheric drag which causes the orbital altitude to decay such that the orbit is not stable and the satellite will eventually reenter the Earth's atmosphere. The density of the atmosphere at these altitudes varies with the 11 year solar cycle. The reason is as the sun emits more UV and higher energy radiation during the solar cycle maximum (due to a 22 year convective-magnetic field cycle on the sun), this energy is absorbed by the upper atmosphere causing the atmosphere to warm and expand. This pushes the atmospheric density structure to higher altitudes at the peak of the solar cycle causing more drag on spacecraft. The lowest altitude orbits are chosen to be closer to the surface, often for purposes of imaging resolution, achieving higher signal to noise ratio (SNR) for active instruments with limited transmit power or increased sensitivity to variations in the Earth's gravity field. Altitudes higher than ~1000 km are avoided when possible to avoid higher radiation levels there from energetic particles which drive up the cost of the instrument and satellite electronics. TOPEX wanted a certain repeat period which forced it up to 1300 km altitude.

Gravitational acceleration

The gravitational force between two masses, M and m , pulling on one another is:

$$F = GMm/r^2$$

where G is the gravitational constant $= 6.67300 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-2}$ and r is the distance between the centers of the two masses in meters. Since $F = ma$, the gravitational acceleration felt by mass, m , is simply

$$a = GM/r^2 \quad (1)$$

Orbital period for circular orbits: Harmonic oscillator

The simple motion of a satellite in a circular orbit around spherical planet can be described in terms of sine and cosines.

$$y(t) = r \sin(\pm 2\pi t/T), \quad x(t) = r \cos(\pm 2\pi t/T)$$

where T is the orbital period, r is the orbital radius and t is time. The $\pm$ refers to the direction the satellite moves in its orbit, clockwise or counterclockwise. For the moment we are interested in magnitudes so we'll drop the $\pm$. The velocities components are

$$v_y(t) = 2\pi r/T \cos(2\pi t/T), \quad v_x(t) = -2\pi r/T \sin(2\pi t/T) \quad (2)$$

The centripetal accelerations are the derivative of the velocities:

$$a_y(t) = -r (2\pi/T)^2 \sin(2\pi t/T), \quad a_x(t) = -r (2\pi/T)^2 \cos(2\pi t/T) \quad (3)$$

We set the magnitude of this centripetal acceleration, $r (2\pi/T)^2$, equal to the gravitational acceleration to determine the orbital period versus radius

$$r (2\pi/T)^2 = GM/r^2$$

from which we get Kepler's famous law where $r^3 \propto T^2$

$$T^2 = (2\pi)^2 r^3 / GM$$

Alternatively we can write the gravitational acceleration in terms of the surface gravitational acceleration, g_s , which on average is 9.81 m/sec^2 for the Earth.


$$a = GM/r^2 = g_s R_E^2 / r^2$$

where R_E is the radius of the planet in general or in this case the Earth. Again for a circular orbit, the radial gravitational acceleration equals the magnitude of the centripetal acceleration

$$r^3 (2\pi/T)^2 = g_s R_E^2$$

In this form,

$$T = 2\pi (r^{3/2}/R_E)/g_s^{1/2} \quad \text{or} \quad r = [g_s R_E^2 (T/2\pi)^2]^{1/3} \quad (4)$$




Orbital Velocity

From equation (2), the magnitude of the orbital velocity, V_0 , is $2\pi r/T$. Combining this with (4) yields

$$V_0 = 2\pi r/T = 2\pi r g_s^{1/2} / [2\pi (r^{3/2}/R_E)] = R_E r^{-1/2} g_s^{1/2} \quad (5)$$

{Check units: $\text{m m}^{-1/2} \text{m}^{1/2} \text{s}^{-1} = \text{m/s}$ }




As the Figure shows, LEO velocities are around 7 km/sec (actually 7.5 km/sec for the typical altitude range of 500 to 850 km altitude). The velocity scales inversely with the square root of the orbital radius, so the velocity decreases as the orbital radius increases. The velocity of a geosynchronous orbit is about $(7/42)^{1/2}$ or 40% of that of a LEO or 3 km/sec.

We care about orbital velocity because from LEO it limits how long we can view a location on Earth. Our instruments must be designed with this in mind.

Sidereal day versus solar day (what's my frame of reference)

In a year, the Earth rotates around the sun one extra rotation in comparison to the number of rotations relative to absolute space. A sidereal day is slightly shorter than a solar day because the Earth does not have to rotate quite as far to make a full revolution relative to absolute space as it does for the sun to come directly overhead again. So there are 366.25 sidereal days per year compared to 365.25 solar days per year. So the length of a sidereal day is $365.25/366.25 * 86,400 \text{ sec} = 86,164 \text{ sec}$. (Note there is a slight mistake in Elachi and Van Zyl on page 528).



Orbital Precession

The orientation of the orbital plane is defined relative to absolute space (NOT relative to the changing direction from the center of the Earth to the center of the sun). The orbital plane precesses in general depending primarily on the orbital inclination and the J_2 of the planet which is the second zonal harmonic of the Earth's geopotential field. J_2 is essentially a measure of the equatorial bulge. The precession rate is given as


$$d\Omega/dt = -3/2 J_2 R_E^3 g_s^{1/2} \cos(I)/r^{7/2} \quad (6)$$

where Ω is the longitude of the ascending node, and I is the orbital inclination

check units: $\text{m}^3 \text{m}^{1/2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{m}^{-7/2} = \text{s}^{-1} = \text{rad/sec}$

Additional points:

- Note that a perfectly polar orbit ($I = 90^\circ$) does not precess.
- For an orbit to precess in the same direction as Earth's spin, its inclination, I , must be larger than 90° .



Sun synchronous orbits:

A sun synchronous orbit keeps the alignment of the orbital plane fixed relative to the line between the center of the Earth and the center of the Sun. Sun synchronous orbits are desirable for keeping the solar illumination the same from orbit to orbit which simplifies satellite and instrument designs.

WEATHER: Large, “polar-orbiting”, weather satellites carrying many instruments are often in sun synchronous orbits. Strictly speaking they are not in polar orbits but their inclination is close to 90° as we will see. Their orbits are often described by the time of day they cross the equator.

CLIMATE: They used to be the orbits of choice for LEO climate measurements because the observations don't drift in local time of day so the diurnal cycle in theory does not enter into the long term measurements. However, sun synchronous orbits can drift slightly over time, causing the diurnal signal to alias into the long term climate signal causing a nightmare to try to remove such a subtle signal while looking for another subtle signal. Another problem is the diurnal cycle itself is predicted to change and is apparently changing as the climate warms. Such changes are guaranteed to alias into long term trends measured by sun synchronous orbits. *In my opinion, orbiting observing systems should be designed to sample all times of day throughout the year so that the diurnal signal and the seasonal signal are captured as part of the climate signal. Then*

clever researchers can separate the different time dependent signals apart during their analysis as they try to unravel what the climate system is actually doing.

To make an orbit sun-synchronous, the orbit must precess one extra revolution in a year. So the precession rate is 360° in 366.25 sidereal days or about 1 degree per day or 0.2 microrad/sec. We set eqn. (7) equal to 1.99×10^{-7} rad/sec to get the Figure below.




Figure A. Inclination vs. orbital altitude for sun synchronous orbits

Example: CloudSat moves in a sun-synchronous orbit that has an equatorial altitude of approximately 705 km. This sun-synchronous orbit is nearly circular and is inclined with respect to the earth's equator at 98.2 degrees (see Figure A). The CloudSat orbit is stable for 20 to 30 years before drag will bring it down into the Earth's atmosphere.

Orbit-related Problems with determining temperature trends via MSU


Climate models generally predict that temperatures in the free troposphere will increase faster than the surface temperatures as our climate warms due to increased GHG concentrations ([Santer et al., 2005](#)). They also predict similar behavior for the water vapor. To first order, the rationale is this is the response of a warmer moist adiabat in the tropics.

Evaluating these climate model predictions relies on observations. Evaluation requires a long running, accurate and unbiased data set for atmospheric temperature and water vapor with sufficient vertical resolution to resolved the predicted effect. This is a tall order that is really unmet to date. IR data is problematical in part because of its sensitivity to clouds which creates inherent sampling biases because its sampling is limited in cloudy regions which cover 60 to 70% of the globe.

So the solution must be in some microwave data set. There is a long-term microwave data set called the Microwave Sounding Unit (MSU) with a number of channels designed to determine atmospheric temperature using emission from the O_2 50 to 60 GHz band. However, weather and not climate was the focus when we began putting satellite observing systems in orbit several decades ago. The long term observing systems were not designed to be better than 0.1 K per decade. Also, for reasons that we will see, the vertical resolution of this emission is quite coarse. MSU channel 2 data that researchers use to estimate tropospheric temperature trends actually includes the lower stratosphere. This is a problem because the stratosphere should be

cooling as the troposphere warms and will therefore cancel some of the tropospheric warming signal in the MSU channel 2 data.

Fig. 2. TLT brightness temperature time series average over the globe (A), 70°S to 82.5°N, and the tropics (B), 20°S to 20°N, both for this work and for results from Christy *et al.* The straight lines are linear fits to the data. Our results indicate increased warming particularly in the tropics, where the differences between the two diurnal corrections are the greatest. The differences between the time series become prominent after about 1991, when the drift in LECT for NOAA-11 begins to accelerate. A similar acceleration of drift in the NOAA-14 satellite occurs after 1998, with a corresponding increase in the difference between these time series.



The differences between the time series become prominent after about 1991, when the drift in LECT for NOAA-11 begins to accelerate. A similar acceleration of drift in the NOAA-14 satellite occurs after 1998, with a corresponding increase in the difference between these time series.

The figure above from [Mears and Wentz \(2005\)](#) in *Science* shows results from the analysis of MSU2 temperature lower troposphere (TLT) trends by two different groups who get two different answers. (TLT attempts to estimate and remove the stratospheric contribution). The RSS results show more warming and therefore make the climate modelers more happy than the UAH results. In fact the UAH results show very slight cooling in the tropics.

One of the reasons for the discrepancies between the two groups is the satellite orbit drift. The orbits of the polar orbiting satellites that have carried the Microwave Sounding Unit (MSU) precess with time. This means the local time of day sampled by the MSU instruments has changed over time. The figure below from [chapter 6 of Satellites Orbits and Missions \(2006\)](#) shows the drift in the local time of the equatorial crossing of NOAA polar orbiting satellites over the past 3 decades.




Figure 6.6. Drift of the ascending node crossing time τ_{AN} for Sun-synchronous meteorological satellites in the POES programme. The time τ_{AN} is given for the operating period of each satellite. From NOAA data

The satellite drift through local time is substantial. In order to isolate the long term climate trend from the MSU data requires that the diurnal drift of the satellite orbits be corrected for. The two groups, RSS (Wentz and Mears) and UAH (University of Alabama at Huntsville, John Christy et al.) use different methods to correct for the diurnal signal. UAH used the *data* itself to estimate the diurnal effect. They did so by separating MSU observations taken at different viewing angles that effectively look at different local times and then deriving what the diurnal signal was. Wentz and Mears used a *model* of the diurnal signature to correct for the diurnal effect


Robb Randall did his PhD in this Department on this subject. Robb found that the biggest difference between the two sets of results was in how they accounted for the diurnal effect. He then used a subset of radiosonde data certified by Randel and Wu as the best available sonde data and compared the diurnal representations in the RSS and UAH analyses and found that the UAH data agrees far better with the high quality sondes than the RSS model representation of the diurnal effect (see [Randall and Herman \(2008\)](#)).

There are several implications

1. Models have errors in them, in this case the diurnal signature, and must be treated with some doubt until verified by observations
2. Estimating the climate state should be done as much as possible directly from observations and not involve models
3. There are enough issues with the MSU data that it is not clear whether the MSU data can be interpreted in a sufficiently unambiguous way to determine a definitive assessment of free tropospheric temperature trends.
4. We need a better, unbiased *climate* observing system with the resolution, sensitivity, and accuracy required to determine free tropospheric temperature and water response to anthropogenic changes in GHGs and aerosols etc. as well as natural variations.

Sampling and coverage

Geosynchronous orbiters provide essentially continuous coverage of the region underneath them. Polar orbiters essentially sample two times per day (one day and one night) at the equator, as the orbit slowly precesses and the Earth rotates underneath the orbital plane. For sun synchronous orbits, the solar times are fixed. For all other LEOs, the solar time of the two equatorial crosses drifts with time.



For orbital altitudes between 500 and 1500 km, the orbital period varies from about 95 to 115 minutes. Since a solar day is 1440 minutes in length, the number of daytime equatorial crossings per day is about 13-15.

For an altitude of 550 km, the number of orbits per day is about 15. So the spacing between daytime (or nighttime) equatorial crossings is $360^\circ/15 = 24^\circ$ of longitude. So if your nadir-viewing instrument can sweep back and forth by ± 12 degrees of longitude, your instrument could sample the entire globe every day. 1° of longitude at the equator is about 111 km so 12° is 1332 km. The look angle off nadir would have to be $\tan(\theta) = 1332/550$ so $\theta = \pm 68^\circ$ which is quite large. A higher orbit would achieve full global coverage (at a cost of resolution because it is higher above the Earth). Because of the wide swaths required to achieve full coverage each day, there is typically a gap between consecutive swaths at the equator.

AIRS example

The Atmospheric InfraRed Sounder (AIRS) is a nadir-viewing, high resolution IR spectrometer on NASA's AQUA satellite in the A-train (see below) flying at 705 km altitude in a sun synchronous orbit. The AIRS infrared bands have an instantaneous field of view (IFOV) of 1.1° ($=19$ mrad) and $FOV = \pm 49.5^\circ$ ($=0.86$ rad) scanning capability perpendicular to the spacecraft ground track. So the horizontal resolution is $\tan(0.019) \times 705 \text{ km} = 13.5 \text{ km}$ at nadir and the swath width $= 2 \times \tan(0.086) \times 705 \text{ km} = 1650 \text{ km}$.

Does this swath width allow AIRS to sample the entire equator each day? At 705 km altitude, the orbital period is 98 minutes. In 98 minutes the Earth rotates 24.5 degrees of longitude which means the location under AIRS has moved $24.5 \times 111 \text{ km} = 2720 \text{ km}$. So each day AIRS samples the atmosphere about $1650/2720 = 60\%$ of the equatorial area.

The combined high spatial resolution and wide swath width combined with the fast orbital motion of 7.5 km/sec means there is not a lot of time for each measurement. The measurement integration time for each 13.5 km sounding of the atmosphere must be quite short given the 7.5 km orbital velocity of AQUA and AIRS. The time it takes the satellite to move 13.5 km along its orbital track is 1.8 seconds. This is the time available to do a scan across the full swath width. So the number of individual 1.1° footprint soundings per swath width scan is 90 and the time of


data measurement per sounding is $1.8 \text{ seconds}/90 = 20 \text{ msec}$. The actual integration time is 22.41 ms for each footprint of 1.1° in diameter. This short time likely hurts the signal to noise ratio (SNR) a bit and reduces the accuracy of the individual profiles but AIRS is trying to do a lot and tradeoffs must be made.

AIRS is a very powerful sounder sampling a large portion of the global atmosphere each data. However, since AIRS is an IR instrument, it requires clear sky to derive atmospheric profiles and about 95% of the AIRS footprints are cloud contaminated (Joanna Joiner, pers. comm.) which reduces its actual coverage to about 5% of its theoretical coverage. In regions that are systematically cloudy, AIRS may have trouble profiling the atmosphere. Still AIRS is a VERY powerful atmospheric sounder for temperature, water vapor and other trace constituents in the atmosphere.

Orbital Repeat Period

Elachi and van Zyl (EvZ)'s Figure B-7 shows repeat periods for LEO sun synchronous orbits, that is, the time and the number of orbits between which the satellite flies exactly over the same location again. The easiest way to understand this figure is to start with lowest row of numbers that has 5 items reading R=16 R=15 R=14 R=13 R=12. This row coincides/aligns with the y-axis cycle period of 1 day. This means that at R=16, a satellite with an orbital altitude of approximately 270 km will fly over exactly the same locations on the Earth one day later after the satellite has gone around the Earth 16 times.

The second row has entries 31 29 27 and 25. The 29 means that for an altitude of about 710 km, the satellite will fly over the exact same locations every 2 days after orbiting the Earth 29 times.




Semi-arid satellite mission?


This past summer we looked briefly at the feasibility and utility of a semi-arid land LEO satellite that would sample the North American Southwest as well as other semi-arid and arid

regions of the globe as often as possible. From EvZ's figure B-7, the fastest repeat time for a LEO in the 500 to 900 km range is once per day at either 560 km (15 orbits per day) or 900 km (14 orbits per day). A basic problem is that an enormous amount of funds (several hundred million dollars) would be required to bring such a mission to reality and only two times of day would be sampled in a region where the diurnal cycle is very large and very important. Geosynchronous satellite would be better in terms of coverage but far more expensive and would have to confront the standard problem of fine horizontal resolution from 36,000 km in space. Particularly during the monsoon, the observations that could penetrate the cloud cover would be quite limited. We discussed stratospheric, lighter than air platforms being developed for telecom and Star Wars applications but they still have some serious technical problems to overcome.

Repeating orbits for calibration: TOPEX and JASON

Systematically flying over the exact same location every so often can be very important for calibration of the satellite observations. TOPEX and its successor, JASON, carry altimeters to measure the ocean topography for inferring ocean currents and warm and cold regions for severe weather, and large scale waves like Kelvin waves associated with the El Nino-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle and the long term rise in sea level predicted (with large error bars) to occur with global warming. In order to make sure the ocean sea level measurements are right, these orbits are designed to repeat every so often over locations with very precise sea level gauges whose measurements can be compared with the altimeters to quantify and understand the errors. This has worked quite well and satellite altimeter measurements of the sea level rise since 1992 when TOPEX launched are very good and indicate 2.8 ± 0.4 mm/yr. Our understanding of what is contributing to the rise is less certain. The dominant contributor is probably thermal expansion of the upper oceans but how much is due to melting land ice is unclear.





NASA's A-train (see: http://events.eoportal.org/pres_AquaMissionEOSPM1.html) consists of several satellites each in the same orbit but slightly delayed with respect to one another. The orbit is summarized as Sun-synchronous circular orbit, altitude = 705 km (nominal), inclination = 98.2° , local equator crossing at 13:30 (1:30 PM) on ascending node, period = 98.8 minutes, the repeat cycle is 16 days (233 orbits). The repeat period allows flights over calibrating ground instruments every 16 days to help calibrate the orbiting instruments and refine retrieval algorithms.

The long time between repeat flights allows the Earth's surface at the equator to be carved up into 233 longitude sections, the longitudinal width of which depends on each instrument. For a passive instrument like AIRS with its wide 1650 km or 15° of longitude coverage every orbit and 60% sampling of the globe each day, the 233 sections is not terribly important. But for the 94 GHz cloud profiling radar (CPR) on CloudSat (also in the A-train) which can only look straight down, carving the equatorial Earth into 233 longitudinally-narrow strips is quite relevant. The cross-track resolution of the CPR is 1.2 km. So every 16 days, the CPR covers $233 \times 1.2 \text{ km} = 280 \text{ km}$ of longitude or about 0.7% of the equatorial region (systematically never sampling the rest of the equatorial longitudes).

The CALIOP LIDAR on CALIPSO (also in the A-train) has a 90 m instantaneous footprint which is smeared to 333 m in the along track direction by orbital motion over the LIDAR pulse duration. CALIOP looks straight down so there is no scanning to produce a larger swath width. So every 16 days, CALIOP covers $233 \times 90 \text{ m} = 21 \text{ km}$ of longitude or about 0.05% of the equatorial region (again, systematically never sampling the rest of the equatorial longitudes).

The power of these instruments lies not in the horizontal coverage but rather the unique vertical information they provide. CPR provides 500 m vertical resolution and can penetrate through clouds to give the first 3D information on clouds globally. CALIOP provides 30 m vertical resolution profiling of aerosols and clouds, far better than the 1 to 4 km can be achieved with passive measurements. One hopes the sampling by these two high vertical resolution instruments, CPR and CALIOP, will produce a statistically representative sampling of the equatorial region. These are key examples of the tradeoffs one must make with orbiting active instruments.